

Table Supporting Discussion of Geronimus et al.

Table A below presents information underlying the discussion in Scanlan JP. Determining whether the effects of relationship between race and allostatic load increases with age. Responding to:

Geronimus A, Hicken M, Keene D, and Bound J. Weathering and Age Patterns of Allostatic Load Scores Among Blacks and Whites in the United States. *Am J Pub Health* 2006;96:826-833:

In Table A below the columns are:

Gen	gender
AgeGroup	age group
WRAb	white rate of having an allostatic load score of 4 or above
BRAb	black rate of having an allostatic load score of 4 or above
WRBel	white rate of having an allostatic load score below 4
BRBel	black rate of having an allostatic load score below 4
OR	ratio of black odds of having an allostatic load score of 4 or above to white odds of having a score of 4 or above
B/WRatioAb	ratio of black rate of having an allostatic load score of 4 or above to white rate of having a score of 4 or above
W/BRatioBel	ratio of white rate of having an allostatic load score below 4 to white rate of having a score below 4
AD	absolute difference between rates
EES	estimated effect size (difference between means of hypothesized underlying distributions)

Table A. Black and white rates of having allostatic load scores of 4 or above by gender and age group with additional information.

Gen	AgeGroup	WRAb	BRAb	WRBel	BRBel	OR	B/WRatioAb	W/BRatioBel	AD	ES
M	18-24	6.31%	7.92%	93.69%	92.08%	1.28	1.26	1.02	1.61%	13
M	25-34	13.43%	18.26%	86.57%	81.74%	1.44	1.36	1.06	4.83%	20
M	35-44	23.92%	34.18%	76.08%	65.82%	1.65	1.43	1.16	10.26%	30
M	45-54	43.03%	54.45%	56.97%	45.55%	1.58	1.27	1.25	11.42%	30
M	55-64	55.84%	69.49%	44.16%	30.51%	1.80	1.24	1.45	13.65%	37
F	18-24	5.13%	8.80%	94.87%	91.20%	1.78	1.72	1.04	3.67%	29
F	25-34	16.37%	24.16%	83.63%	75.84%	1.63	1.48	1.10	7.79%	28
F	35-44	23.12%	40.28%	76.88%	59.72%	2.24	1.74	1.29	17.16%	49
F	45-54	42.01%	61.81%	57.99%	38.19%	2.23	1.47	1.52	19.80%	52
F	55-64	63.59%	82.68%	36.41%	17.32%	2.73	1.30	2.10	19.09%	60